

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/937,057	02/26/2002	Antoine F Carpentier	249326USOX PCT	4658
	7590 01/19/200 AK, MCCLELLAND,	EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ZARA, JANE J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1635		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MOI	NTHS	01/19/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No	Appl	licant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/937,057	CAR	CARPENTIER, ANTOINE F			
		Examiner	Art U	Jnit			
		Jane Zara	1635				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cove	r sheet with the corresp	pondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS CO R 1.136(a). In no event, how not will apply and will expire atute, cause the application	OMMUNICATION. ever, may a reply be timely filed SIX (6) MONTHS from the mail to become ABANDONED (35 U	ling date of this communication.			
Status		,					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3	0 October 2006.					
	<u> </u>	This action is non-fin	al.	•			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allo	condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>52-57,59-63 and 65-69</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>52-57,59-63 and 66-69</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) <u>65</u> is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election require	ement.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)□ ob	jected to by the Exam	iner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held	l in abeyance. See 37 C	FR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the	e attached Office Actio	n or form PTO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen							
	te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗀	Interview Summary (PTO-Paper No(s)/Mail Date.				
· 	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Notice of Informal Patent A					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Art Unit: 1635

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is in response to the communication filed 10-30-06.

Claims 52-57, 59-63 and 65-69 are pending in the instant application.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Response to Arguments and Amendments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 52, 53, 56 and 63 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection set forth below.

Withdrawn Rejections

Any rejections not repeated in this Office action are hereby withdrawn.

New Rejections/Rejections Necessitated by Amendments

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 52, 54-57 and 59-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 52 and 67, lines 1-2, recite an "oligonucleotide consisting of 20-100 nucleotides or base pairs." It is unclear whether the oligonucleotide claimed comprises

Art Unit: 1635

a self-complementary (double stranded) oligonucleotide, or an oligonucleotide and its complementary strand. The metes and bounds of the claimed invention cannot be determined. Appropriate clarification is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 52, 53, 63 and 66-69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Clark et al.

Clark et al teach an (inherently immunostimulatory) oligonucleotide between 20 and 100 nucleobases in length comprising nucleotides 9-16 of SEQ ID no. 9, which oligonucleotide is optionally double or single stranded (see the accompanying sequence alignment data between the Accession No. Bl881470 of Clark et al and the instantly claimed oligonucleotide).

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140

Art Unit: 1635

F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 59-62 and 69 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 15-19 and 23-26 of U.S.

Patent No. 7,108,844. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both sets of claims are drawn to methods of treating cancer comprising the administration of an oligonucleotide between 20-100 nucleobases in length and comprising SEQ ID No. 51.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 65 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

Art Unit: 1635

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Certain papers related to this application may be submitted to Art Unit 1635 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (see 37 C.F.R. ' 1.6(d)). The official fax telephone number for the Group is 571-273-8300. NOTE: If Applicant does submit a paper by fax, the original signed copy should be retained by applicant or applicant's representative. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers in the Office.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jane Zara whose telephone number is (571) 272-0765. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Douglas Schultz, can be reached on (571) 272-0763. Any inquiry

Art Unit: 1635

regarding this application should be directed to the patent analyst, Katrina Turner, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0564. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jane Zara 1-12-07

JANE ZARA, PH.D.